1	other time during the license period.
2	Q I'm going to ask you some questions about paragraphs
3	13 through paragraphs 13 through 16 of your testimony.
4	Now, here you discuss an argument that was made in the
5	Opposition to the Petition to Deny, is that correct?
6	A That's right.
7	Q Now, if you could turn to the Opposition to the
8	Petition to Deny, which is Attachment 7 of Mr. Stortz's
9	Testimony, Church Exhibit 4, and if you could turn to page 12?
10	Is that the beginning of the portion of the argument that you
11	are referencing in your Direct Testimony?
12	A I believe so. I there may have been an intro-
13	duction where I made a reference to this argument, but this is
14	the beginning of the substantive arguments.
15	Q Okay. And, and would you agree that that argument
16	ends on page stamped page 16?
17	A Yes. I would agree.
18	Q Okay. Would you describe any role that staff of the
19	Church or the stations had in preparation of the this
20	argument?
21	A My memory is that when the Petition to Deny was
22	filed against the Church's stations I'm sorry, the Church's
23	License Renewal Applications, I had a number of conversations
24	with Dennis Stortz exploring a number of responses that might
25	be made. As I recall, I asked Dennis whether there were any

particular positions at the stations that required certain 2 specialized skills or background, and Dennis said that there were and described those for me. As a result -- and they're --5 Let me stop you for one second before you move on. 6 A Okay. 7 Did you ask him whether there were any requirements specifically? 9 I can't remember the exact words. I think that I 10 used the word "requirements." That was my understanding --11 And --12 -- that you were talking about requirements. 13 And your recollection is that he, he referred to the, to the classical music knowledge as a requirement? 14 15 A That came to be my understanding. I -- again, I can't remember the exact substance of the conversation I had 16 17 with Dennis. 18 Okay. I'm sorry to have interrupted --Q 19 No, that's okay. A 20 -- you. You could go on. Q 21 Let's -- I'm trying to remember where I had left 22 off. 23 You, you indicated that Mr. -- that you asked Mr. Stortz whether there were any, any positions that required 24 25 specialized skills, and then he said that there were.

A As I recall, he said that there were, and we discussed what those positions were and he explained to me why these particular skills were necessary to perform the particular jobs in question. And, again, I don't remember the precise sequence or precise conversations, but over the course of preparing an Opposition to the Petition to Deny Mr. Stortz sent me some materials listing employees that had been hired for different positions. I think in a combination of correspondence and, and conversations with Mr. Stortz I identified the positions that apparently required these specialized skills or background and then drafted the Opposition as well as the Table 3 where, where a listing of employees is set forth and asterisks are included designating employees that had to have certain of these specialized skills or background.

Q Now, at the time that you had both the, both the -with Mr. Stortz, did you discuss with him the argument that
you later made in the Opposition to Deny -- to Petition to
Deny?

A Yes, I think I did. Over the course of these conversations I think I told him that I thought a reasonable and persuasive argument could be made that for those positions that required specialized skills it might not be appropriate to look at overall labor force availability but that consideration of alternative data might be a more precise measure of availability. I think I also told him that Arnold & Porter

had been involved in prior cases raising EEO questions where 2 similar arguments had been advanced. 3 Was, was the idea of using the argument, did that 4 come from you or from Mr. Stortz? 5 A It came from me. 6 And what was his response when you told him about 7 the argument you planned to make? 8 Well, I don't recall his precise response. At, at 9 some point at this proceeding evolved and it was clear that 10 this argument had, in my view, been misunderstood or miscon-11 strued, I had at least one conversation with Dennis and possi-12 bly others at the station in which a question was raised by 13 station staff as to whether this argument had antagonized the NAACP or FCC staff, and I believe my reply was that apparently 14 15 it had or possibly it had but that I still considered it to be 16 a legitimate argument. 17 Why did those persons on the station staff think 18 that the argument had offended people at the NAACP or the 19 Commission? 20 A I believe that in a filing -- a letter filing made 21

A I believe that in a filing -- a letter filing made by the NAACP, I think it was in 1992, commenting on a number of the responses that the Church had filed in response to FCC inquiries in this proceeding. The NAACP raised questions about -- both about the legitimacy of the requirements that were described as well as suggesting that the existence of

22

23

24

25

such requirements and possibly of the argument that it made 2 raised questions about the Church's intentions in, in fulfill-3 ing its EEO obligations. So, I believe at least in connection with that filing made by the NAACP in 1992, certainly once the Hearing Designation Order was issued, it was explicitly stated 5 6 that, that this argument raised concerns, and there may have been other, other reasons why we felt that this seemed to be 8 troubling. I, I can't remember. 9 I had had some conversations with Mr. Honig over the telephone over the course of these proceedings and perhaps the 10 11 issue was raised in those conversations. 12 In this argument that you made beginning at page 12 Q 13 of the Opposition -- stamped page 12 -- it's page 7 of the, of 14 the actual Opposition pleading, in that argument you cite 15 certain statistics. I believe beginning at page 15 where it 16 -- and I'm talking about classical music knowledge at this 17 point -- where it begins, "Similarly, KFUO is not aware of any specific statistics..." Do you see that language? 18 19 Yeah. 20 Now, you went on to cite certain statistics in that 21 argument, correct? 22 A That's right. 23 And those statistics were based on the listenership 24 of KFUO-FM? 25 A That's right.

Ţ	Q Now, where did you what was the source of these
2	statistics?
3	A The statistics on listenership of KFUO-FM was some-
4	thing called the Media Audit in St. Louis. I was provided the
5	that audit information by staff at Station KFUO. As I
6	recall, I was faxed a page or two from what I gather was a
7	larger document that set forth the statistics that are includ-
8	ed here.
9	Q So, that would be like a ratings like ratings?
10	A I don't recall now what my understanding was of what
11	this organization was. I believe at the time Dennis Stortz or
12	someone else at the station explained to me exactly what the
13	organization was and how it operated.
14	Q And presumably it broke down the demographics of the
15	listenership of the station's
16	A That's right.
17	Q background? Now, why did you think that use of
18	these statistics about the listenership of KFUO would be
19	analogous to the argument that you were trying to make?
20	A I believe that if in that we were trying to
21	establish some measure of the availability of persons with
22	classical music expertise or background or substantial knowl-
23	edge that one at least very rough measure, and in fact I
24	believed probably a measure that would overstate the
25	availability, would be a measure of persons who listen to the

I assumed only full-time classical music station in the city. 2 that persons who have expertise or interest or knowledge about classical music would likely in many cases listen to the only 3 full-time classical music station in the city. 5 Now, you indicated earlier that you had discussed Q 6 with people on the staff of the station the fact that you 7 thought that this argument was sound. Did you ever discuss 8 with anyone at the Church or the station staff the fact that you were going to use these statistics as an analogy in sup-10 port of your argument? A The statistics on listenership? 11 12 That's correct. 13 A I'm not sure I would characterize their use as --I'm, I'm not sure I would characterize them as having been 14 15 used as an analogy. They were some indication of what an 16 appropriate measure might be. Are you asking whether I had 17 discussed whether I intended to use these specific statistics? 18 That's right. That's right. 19 Well, I'm certain that I did. I -- as I said, I 20 received the statistics from the station's staff and worked 21 closely with the staff in, in formulating this, this pleading. 22 Q Do you have any recollection of specific discussions 23 about it with people on the staff? 24 A Specifically about the use of these statistics? 25 That's right. That's correct. Q

1	A	I have a very vague memory at the time that I was
2	working o	n this of discussing it generally with Dennis Stortz,
3	but I, I	can't really remember the substance of what was said.
4	Q	Now, it's correct, isn't it, that pardon me in
5	order to	make this argument you needed to establish that, that
6	classical	music knowledge was a requirement for certain
7	positions	, is that correct?
8	A	That's right.
9	Q	And do you know today whether classical music
10	knowledge	was a requirement for certain positions at the
11	station a	t that time?
12	A	Do I know today whether it's a requirement?
13	Q	Yes.
14	A	I think
15		MS. SCHMELTZER: Whether it was a requirement back
16	during the	e license term?
17		MS. LADEN: That's right. I should, I should
18	rephrase	that.
19		BY MS. LADEN:
20	Ω	Did there come a time when you learned that classi-
21	cal music	knowledge was not a requirement at the time when
22	this plead	ding was filed?
23	A	In retrospect, in light of all that has gone on, I
24	wish that	I had used another word, and the word "requirement"
25	might be	an overstatement. I, I continue to understand that

1	it is a factor that the Commission that I'm sorry, that
2	the station seeks and wants and looks for and it only if it
3	can't find persons with the required that I shouldn't
4	use the word "required" with that background that occa-
5	sionally people without the background have been hired. In
6	light of that I think the word "requirement" was probably an
7	overstatement.
8	Q Okay. In light of that fact, would you think the
9	use of the argument is still sound?
10	A I think it's still a legitimate point to make. The,
11	the very point is that it's difficult to find people with that
12	background and that there is not a great availability of any
13	race, and so the fact that the station hasn't been able to
14	find people with, with this background in all cases I don't
15	think undermines the point that was being made.
16	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, I wonder before I leave this
17	particular area whether I could have just a couple minutes off
18	the record? I, I'd like to review my notes.
19	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. We'll go off the record.
20	(Off the record.)
21	(On the record.)
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record.
23	BY MS. LADEN:
24	Q When did you learn that well, first of all, let
25	me direct your attention to page stamped page 13 of the

- 1 Opposition to Petition to Deny which, again, is in Attachment
- 2 7. Now, if you could read to yourself the first sentence in
- 3 that -- on that page beginning with, "Given the specialized
- 4 nature..."?
- 5 A Okay.
- Now, there came a time when you learned that that statement was not accurate, is that correct?
- A I, I might say that to call it not accurate is
  perhaps overstating, that, that this might have been overstating it.
- 11 Q Okay. When did you learn that?
- 12 A With reference to the classical music aspect?
- 13 Q That's correct.
- 14 A I, I'm not certain. I believe either in one of Mr.
- 15 Honig's filings, possibly the 1992 letter that I referred to
- 16 letter.
- JUDGE STEINBERG: You, you mean Mr. Honig? That was
- 18 where he responded to several of the Church's answers?
- 19 WITNESS: That's right. Possibly in that pleading.
- 20 Possibly in one of the letters of inquiry from the Commission
- 21 | a question was raised as to whether every Sales person at
- 22 KFUO-FM had classical music expertise. I believe as a result
- 23 of that question being raised by whomever, and I don't remem-
- 24 ber now who, I think that might have been the first time that
- 25 I had thought about that question and possibly talked to the

1	station staff.
2	BY MS. LADEN:
3	Q And the station staff told you that there were
4	certain position Sales people who did not have classical music
5	training?
6	A I think that's right. I'm not certain, but I think
7	that's right. It's, it's possible I knew it before. That,
8	that's sort of the first memory I have focusing on it.
9	Q At the time when you learned that, did you consider
10	correcting the statement at page 13?
11	A Again, my memory is really weak. On further
12	thought, I, I think maybe the first pleading that I made
13	following my focusing on this aspect might have been the
14	response to the FCC's final letter of inquiry to the Church,
15	and I believe in that pleading there was included an affidavit
16	from Dennis Stortz where this point was addressed, that the
17	point about the use of the word "requirement" and the fact
18	that not every Sales person had this knowledge.
19	Q Okay. Now
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: Just to, to firm this up, could
21	Ms. Cranberg be referred to whatever document that is and,
22	and
23	MS. LADEN: That's Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 14.
24	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yep. Why don't you skim that and
25	point to what see if that refreshes your memory. And then

1	if it, and then if it, if it, if it confirms what you've just
2	stated, why don't you point to where it confirms it. And
3	that's a long document. So, if you you know, if you want a
4	period of time to look through, we'll go off the record and
5	allow your, your review.
6	WITNESS: I believe I can pinpoint the section of
7	Dennis Stortz's affidavit, which was an attachment to this,
8	that I was referring to. I was referring to numbered para-
9	graph 14 in the Dennis Stortz Affidavit
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: That's hand-lettered
11	WITNESS: which is the attachment.
12	JUDGE STEINBERG: page 63.
13	WITNESS: That's right. Carried over to 64. As I
14	it, it might be helpful to me to review the Commission's
15	letter of inquiry that prompted this reply. It's possible
16	that that letter of inquiry raised this point and that may
17	have been what prompted my focusing on it.
18	MS. LADEN: Look at Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 13.
19	That may be the
20	WITNESS: I guess that doesn't help me much.
21	JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, paragraph 1 addresses the
22	expert can be filled paragraph that's been that's num-
23	bered 1 on page 1 refers to either expertise in classical
24	music so, I guess the Commission was asking about that?
25	WITNESS: Um-hum. Yeah. Well, I guess I have

1	nothing further to add in response to your question.
2	BY MS. LADEN:
3	Q At some point after you drafted the Opposition to
4	Petition to Deny, which is Attachment 7 of Exhibit 4, Church
5	Exhibit 4, did you send that document the, the version that
6	was filed with the Commission did you send that to anyone
7	at the stations to review?
8	A Did I send it to anyone at the stations
9	Q Yes.
10	A to review? Prior to filing it?
11	Q Yes.
12	A Yes. I did. I sent it I know I sent it to
13	Dennis Stortz. I believe Dennis was the only person I sent it
14	to. I believe.
15	Q And it's correct, is it not, that Mr. Stortz exe-
16	cuted an affidavit which was attached to this Opposition, is
17	that correct?
18	A That's right.
19	Q And that affidavit is at page 53?
20	A That's right.
21	Q Now, after you sent this document to Mr. Stortz, did
22	he contact before you filed it did he contact you with any
23	questions or comments or, or changes?
24	A Once again, I don't have any memory of any specific
25	conversations but I have a vague memory that he and I

1	discus-sed the draft that I had sent him and I responded to
2	questions he raised or possibly made changes at his request.
3	Q Did you ever discuss the language that we have been
4	talking about, about the requirement for classical music?
5	A Are you
6	Q The I'm specifically referring to the paragraph
7	the first paragraph on page 13 of the Opposition.
8	A Are you asking if we discussed generally this
9	argument after I
10	Q I'm asking
11	A prepared the draft?
12	Q whether he ever discussed with you the, the
13	suggestion that only that the positions Sales positions
14	could only be filled by persons with classical music
15	knowledge?
16	A I don't recall his discussing that aspect of it in
17	particular.
18	Q Okay. Now, if you look at that paragraph again, the
19	first paragraph in page 13, did you draft that language or was
20	that language drafted by the station?
21	A I think I drafted it.
22	Q If you could turn to Attachment 1 of your Testimony?
23	Did you draft this document?
24	A Either I drafted it or Reed Miller drafted it. Our
25	practice was that he, he would draft some letters. I would

draft some letters. In my earlier years at the firm he would 2 sign all of the letters. In most recent years I would draft and sign letters. But there was a period where I would, I 3 would draft some and he would sign them, so I'm not certain which of us did this. 5 6 Okay. Do you recall whether you ever had a discussion about this letter subsequent to sending it to the station with anyone at the station? 9 I don't recall whether I did or not. 10 If you could turn to Attachment 2 of your Testimony? 11 Did you draft that letter? 12 A Same answer as with respect to the previous letter. I don't know. 13 14 Q And do you recall whether you ever discussed it with 15 anyone at the station? 16 A Again, I don't recall whether I did or not. 17 And could you look at Attachment 3 of your Testi-18 mony? Did you draft this letter? 19 A I'm not certain if -- it's -- I, I have a vague 20 memory. Maybe I did, but I'm not certain. 21 Q Do you recall whether you ever had a discussion 22 about it with anyone at the station? 23 A Again, I don't recall whether I did or not. As I, 24 as I think I said earlier, I would get dozens of calls from

clients probably a week, so I, I can't remember every call

25

that I got. 2 Okay. If you could look at Attachment 4 and tell me whether you drafted that and if you ever had a discussion 3 about it with anyone at the station? I think I might have. I, I think I drafted this. 5 A 6 I'm not certain. It was not too long after this letter was sent that I received a call from Tom Lauher that's referenced in my written Testimony. I can't remember if he referred to this letter in particular, but our conversation pertained to EEO and renewal issues generally, and I don't know if I got 10 11 any other calls from the station in response to this or not. 12 If you could turn to Mass Media Bureau 13 Exhibit 17 and tell me whether you drafted that letter and 14 whether you had any discussion about it with anyone at the station? 15 16 A Again, I'm not sure if I drafted this or Reed Miller did, although I know -- I believe the signature is actually in 17 18 the handwriting of my secretary at the time, so I might have 19 drafted this. Again, I don't recall whether I had 20 conversations with the station or not in response to this 21 letter. 22 MS. LADEN: Your Honor, could we just have one 23 minute, please? 24 JUDGE STEINBERG: Off the record. 25 (Off the record.)

1	1	(On the record.)
2		JUDGE STEINBERG: Back on the record.
3		BY MS. LADEN:
4	Q	If you could turn to NAACP Exhibit 46?
5	A	I'm sorry. What number?
6	Q	46. That's the NAACP and could you tell me
7	whether y	ou drafted that letter?
8	A	Again, I'm not certain. I think, I think maybe I
9	did.	
10	Ω	Do you recall whether you had any discussion about
11	it with a	nyone at the station?
12	A	I don't recall whether I did or not.
13	Q	Could you turn to NAACP Exhibit 47 and tell me
14	whether yo	ou drafted that letter?
15	A	I believe I drafted this.
16	Q	And do you recall whether you had any discussions
17	about it a	subsequently with anyone at the station?
18	A	Same answer. I don't recall whether I did or not.
19	Q	Now, could you turn to Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 22?
20	And could	you tell me whether you drafted this letter?
21	A	I think Reed Miller drafted this letter because he
22	refers to	attendance at an NAB convention that I didn't
23	attend.	
24	Q	Do you recall having any discussion about this
25	letter wit	th anyone at the staff of the stations?

1	A Same answer. I don't recall whether I did or not.
2	Q Now, if you could turn to the next exhibit, Mass
3	Media Bureau Exhibit 23, and tell me whether you drafted this?
4	A I think I drafted this.
5	Q Do you recall having a discussion about it with
6	anyone at the station?
7	A I don't recall whether I did or not.
8	MS. LADEN: Your Honor, could we have a couple
9	minutes? I think we're almost done but I wanted to consult
10	with counsel.
11	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Off the record.
12	(Off the record.)
13	(On the record.)
14	JUDGE STEINBERG: We're back on the record.
15	BY MS. LADEN:
16	Q Ms. Cranberg, at on page 8 of your Testimony, the
17	end of that page, would you read to yourself the sentence, the
18	last sentence, which begins, "Based on these discussions"?
19	A Okay.
20	Q What is the, what is the basis for that statement?
21	A I had numerous conversations with Dennis and possi-
22	bly some with Paula, and there was never any comment made to
23	me never to the effect that the misrepresentation had been
24	intentional or that the station felt that it had benefitted
25	from the error. There was never any sense that station staff

1	were trying to hide anything from me or from the Commission.
2	I, I it was simply an impression based on numerous conver-
3	sations that I was dealing with very honest, very helpful,
4	very nice people who had made a good faith mistake. I, I
5	never had the slightest shadow of a doubt in my mind and, in
6	fact, I was truly astonished when the question was raised as
7	to whether the mistake could have been intentional, and it
8	really hadn't entered my mind.
9	MS. LADEN: I have no further questions. Thank you.
10	MS. SCHMELTZER: I have no redirect, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Let me Mr. Horton, as
12	counsel representing the witness, you, you have the right, if
13	you want to, to ask any questions of the witness that you
14	believe would clarify any matters, and I want to give you an
15	opportunity to do that if you wish.
16	MR. HORTON: I have no specific questions unless Ms.
17	Cranberg herself has anything that she thinks she could
18	clarify.
19	WITNESS: I can't think of anything.
20	MR. HORTON: In that case I have no questions for
21	her.
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Ms. Cranberg, you're
23	excused. Thank you very, very much for testifying. We appre-
24	ciate it.
25	MS. SCHMELTZER: Can we have just a minute?

1	JUDGE STEINBERG: We'll go off the record.
2	(Off the record.)
3	(On the record.)
4	JUDGE STEINBERG: We're on the record now. Mrs.
5	Schmeltzer, does that complete your direct case?
6	MS. SCHMELTZER: Yes, it does, Your Honor. I
7	believe all our exhibits have been received. We do let me
8	just state for the record, there will be two joint exhibits.
9	I, I have labelled as proposed Joint Exhibit 1 a
10	stipulation. This lists all the managers during the license
11	period the, the General Managers, but in one instance we've
12	listed Mr. Lombardi, who was Director of Broadcast Ministries.
13	We've also listed Dennis Stortz's name both as Acting General
14	Manager and, and Operations, and Operations Manager. And I'll
15	be happy to show that.
16	The other joint exhibit would be the Reed Miller
17	Deposition, and we don't have signature pages back yet.
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: I meant to ask Mr. Horton when he
19	thought he'd be able to do that, but I forgot.
20	MS. SCHMELTZER: He was not feeling well the begin-
21	ning of this week. My understanding was they were going to
22	try to talk to him about it today, but since they've been in
23	here
24	JUDGE STEINBERG: Yeah, I forgot to, I forgot to
25	ask. Is has everyone seen the stipulation?

1	MS. SCHMELTZER: No. Let me
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Why don't, why don't you distrib-
3	ute that and then we can come back to it later.
4	(Pause.)
5	MS. SCHMELTZER: I would just say with respect to
6	the proposed stipulation that we were asked to put in the
7	months and we feel pretty sure that they're correct, but it,
8	it is approximate specifically because people you know,
9	we didn't put in the actual dates. We put in the months, but
10	we don't have the actual dates. And if, if there was testimo-
11	ny from either Mr. Stortz or Mr. Lauher that's more specific,
12	then that should take precedence
13	JUDGE STEINBERG: Govern then it should govern.
14	MS. SCHMELTZER: Right.
15	MR. HONIG: Your Honor, wasn't there I made
16	JUDGE STEINBERG: I haven't got can I see the
17	document or, or, or was it appropriate for me to see it
18	before it's been stipulated to?
19	MR. HONIG: I don't mind. In fact, it would be
20	helpful.
21	(Pause.)
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: Oh, is are we prepared to talk
23	about this or do we, or do we need more time? If it's going
24	to be a matter of some controversy, I'd prefer to move on.
25	MR. HONIG: Maybe not controversy but just a

1	question.
2	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay.
3	MR. HONIG: I may be recalling the testimony incor-
4	rectly, but did not Reverend Devantier testify that between
5	July 1989 and approximately October 1989 he served as Acting
6	General Manager? He's here. Maybe you can recall, but I
7	don't remember.
8	MS. SCHMELTZER: My understanding was that you were
9	interest I didn't think you were interested in having
10	Reverend Devantier in this as well, but if, if
11	MR. HONIG: Well, if that was his title or function,
12	I think he should be listed so that it would be complete.
13	MR. ZAUNER: It's already in the record, I think
14	MR. HONIG: Yeah.
15	MR. ZAUNER: Reverend Devantier's testimony.
16	MS. SCHMELTZER: As I said, I think the testimony
17	should govern if the specific individuals
18	MR. HONIG: If we're going to accept a stipulation
19	for the truth of the matter asserted, we may as well have it
20	be complete. I just don't remember. And maybe the witness
21	who was here
22	MS. SCHMELTZER: Well, I let me can I if I
23	may explain how this arose, I think it arose out of, out of
24	some of the interrogatory answers, which may have included
25	some and I don't recall whether they included all of the

1	information, because it would depend on the specific question.
2	MR. ZARAGOZA: This is literally correct. There was
3	no Act he was not
4	MS. SCHMELTZER: I guess I
5	MR. ZARAGOZA: or Acting
6	MR. HONIG: Well
7	MS. SCHMELTZER: I think to the extent Reverend
8	Devantier may have been Acting, it wasn't in a, in a formal
9	MR. HONIG: On Mr. Zaragoza's statement, I'll accept
10	the stipulation for the truth of the matter asserted.
11	MS. SCHMELTZER: Well, I guess my concern, Your
12	Honor, is I did say that to the extent there's testimony in
13	the record that that should govern. That's the witness's own
14	testimony. This is based on my review of the records I have,
15	but not it was a very quick review.
16	MR. HONIG: Well, then, then I'll stipulate that
17	it's the result of, of Mrs. Schmeltzer review.
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Here's what we'll do.
19	You're willing to stipulate to Joint Exhibit 1 with the under-
20	standing that if the written or oral testimony differs, the
21	written or oral testimony governs?
22	MR. HONIG: Yes.
23	JUDGE STEINBERG: Mrs. Schmeltzer? You, you're
24	willing to accept that?
25	MS. SCHMELTZER: Yeah. Well, I could tell you just

1	one slight difference that I, I believe. Mr. Stortz is listed
2	as Acting General Manager in June '87. I don't believe his
3	Declaration states that. It's Mr. Stortz's recollection that
4	he must have been because there was no other one there at the
5	time. Mr you know, I that's what he told me.
6	JUDGE STEINBERG: Well, it says approximately. Mr.
7	Zauner?
8	MR. ZAUNER: Does Ms. Schmeltzer Mrs. Schmeltzer
9	could she state the source of the information for this,
10	this stipulation?
11	MS. SCHMELTZER: Just the various both the
12	Answers to Interrogatories and the various documents that have
13	been filed in this pleading
14	MR. ZARAGOZA: And the two witnesses.
15	MS. SCHMELTZER: and the witnesses.
16	MR. ZARAGOZA: Dennis
17	MS. SCHMELTZER: Right. The witness Dennis
18	Ms Reverend Devantier and Dennis Stortz.
19	MR. ZAUNER: We have no objection to the stipula-
20	tion.
21	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. We'll the stipulation is
22	a one-page exhibit. It'll be identified as Joint Exhibit 1
23	and it's a stipulation re: general managers. That what we'll
24	that's what I'll call it.
25	MS. SCHMELTZER: With the caveat that Mr. Lombardi

1	was, was actually had a different title than General
2	Manager.
3	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. Well, we have to call it
4	something. Okay. It's the document described will be
5	identified as Joint Exhibit No. 1 and it will be received.
6	(Whereupon, the document referred to
7	as Joint Exhibit No. 1 was marked for
8	identification and received into
9	evidence.)
10	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. The reporter needs to get
11	two copies.
12	MS. SCHMELTZER: And I'm giving an original and one
13	copy to the reporter.
14	JUDGE STEINBERG: Thank you. Now, I guess then that
15	completes your direct case?
16	MS. SCHMELTZER: Then that yes, although there
17	will be
18	JUDGE STEINBERG: But
19	MS. SCHMELTZER: there'll be a Joint Exhibit 2.
20	JUDGE STEINBERG: The Reed Miller's
21	MS. SCHMELTZER: The Reed Miller.
22	JUDGE STEINBERG: as expeditiously as that can be
23	filed?
24	MS. SCHMELTZER: Right.
25	JUDGE STEINBERG: Okay. And what, what, what you